	ed States Patent a	ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,091	07/25/2001	Timothy M. Schmidl	TI-31670	5570	
75	7590 05/05/2005			EXAMINER	
Ronald O. Nee Texas Instrumer					
	P. O. Box 655474, M/S 3999			PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75	265				
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		09/915,091	Schmidl et al.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rafael Perez-Gutierrez	2686			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
The Appeal Brief filed on 21 April 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
MONT	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a c H or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this D MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.					
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the ite	ms are not under the proper			
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🛛	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).					
10.🖾	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):					
	<u>Essentially, the appeal brief filed on April 21, 2005 o effective September 13, 2004. A new appeal brief w notice.</u>	loes not comply with the provisions ( hich complies with 37 CFR 41.37 is	of 37 CFR 41.37 which were required in response to present			
	RAPAEL PEREZ-GU PATENT EXAMI 5(2/05	Rata	el Perez-Gutierrez ary Examiner			

•